



Healthpeak Properties, Inc.

GRESB GRESB Real Estate Assessment 2020

DATE: 3 Aug 2020 4:10:27pm Mon UTC

SUBMITTED: 3 Aug 2020 4:10:16pm Mon UTC

Table of Contents

ASPECT CHECKLIST	
Aspect Checklist	4
ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Entity Characteristics	5
Reporting Characteristics	5
LEADERSHIP	
ESG Commitments and Objectives	7
ESG Decision Making	8
POLICIES	
ESG Policies	12
REPORTING	
ESG Disclosure	15
ESG Incident Monitoring	16
RISK MANAGEMENT	
Risk Management	17
Risk Assessments	17
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	
Employees	20
Suppliers	24
REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Reporting Characteristics	27
RISK ASSESSMENT	
Risk Assessments	31
Efficiency Measures	34
TARGETS	
Targets	36
TENANTS AND COMMUNITY	
Tenants/Occupiers	39
Community	42
ENERGY CONSUMPTION	
Energy Consumption	44
GHG EMISSIONS	
GHG Emissions	49
WATER USE	
Water Use	53
WASTE MANAGEMENT	
Waste Management	58
DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW	
Review, verification and assurance of ESG data	62
BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS	
Building Certifications	64
DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Reporting Characteristics	67
DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS	
ESG Requirements	71
DEV - MATERIALS	
Materials	73

DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS	
Building Certifications.....	74
DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION	
Energy.....	76
DEV - WATER USE	
Water Conservation.....	77
DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT	
Waste Management.....	78
DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	
Health, Safety & Well-being.....	79
Supply Chain.....	80
Community Impact and Engagement.....	81
NAREIT LEADER IN THE LIGHT	
Nareit Leader in the Light.....	83

ASPECT CHECKLIST

There are no errors or warnings for this assessment! Click "Contents" to resume the assessment.

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Entity Characteristics

EC2

Nature of ownership

Public (listed) entity

Specify ISIN:

US40414L1098

Legal status:

Property company

Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT)

Private (non-listed) entity

Government entity

EC3

Entity commencement date

Year of commencement (listed) or Year of establishment (non-listed) 1985

EC4

Reporting year

Calendar year

Fiscal year

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

RC1

Reporting currency

Values are reported in:

USD United States Dollar

RC2

Economic size

What was the gross asset value (GAV) of the entity at the end of the reporting year in millions?

18,362.84

RC3

Floor area metrics

Metrics are reported in:

m2

sq. ft.

RC4

Property type and Geography

Portfolio predominant location (*): United States of America

Portfolio predominant property type (**): Healthcare

RC5

Nature of entity's business

The entity's core business:

- Management of standing investments only (continue with Management and Performance Components)
- Management of standing investments and development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management, Performance, and Development Components)
- Development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management and Development Components)

LEADERSHIP

ESG Commitments and Objectives

LE1

ESG leadership commitments

Has the entity made a public commitment to ESG leadership standards and/or principles?

 Yes

Select all commitments included (multiple answers possible)

- Climate Action 100+
- Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change (including AIGCC, Ceres, IGCC, IIGCC)
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Standards
- Montreal Pledge
- OECD - Guidelines for multinational enterprises
- PRI signatory
- RE 100
- Science Based Targets initiative
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- UN Global Compact
- UN Sustainable Development Goals
- WorldGBC's Net Zero Carbon Buildings Commitment
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

SASB (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board); GRI

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

<http://app.quotemedia.com/data/downloadFiling?webmasterId=101533&ref=114872549&type=PDF&symbol=PEAK&companyName=Healthpeak+Properties&formType=DEF+14A&dateFiled=2020-03-12&CK=765880><http://app.quotemedia.com/data/downloadFiling?webmasterId=101533&ref=114872549&type=PDF&symbol=PEAK&companyName=Healthpeak+Properties&formType=DEF+14A&dateFiled=2020-03-12&CK=765880>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Pgs. 11 and 26 of the Proxy. [Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its corporate name from HCP, Inc. in October 2019, and all policies, reports and filings referencing "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.]

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdfhttps://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Pages 3, 6, 11, 16, 34 and 35-41 of the 2019 ESG Report reference our disclosure according to U.N. Sustainable Development Goals (pp. 3,6); TCFD (p.6 index referencing pages in report), Science-Based Targets (p.11), International Labour Standards (p.16); SASB (p.34) and GRI (pp. 35-41)

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000076588019000006/form8-kxnamechangeandp.htm><https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000076588019000006/form8-kxnamechangeandp.htm>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Evidence of name change of Healthpeak Properties, Inc. from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019.

 No

LE2

ESG objectives

Does the entity have specific ESG objectives?

 Yes

The objectives relate to (multiple answers possible)

- General sustainability

- Environment
- Social
- Governance
- Health and well-being

The objectives are

- Fully integrated into the overall business strategy
- Partially integrated into the overall business strategy
- Not integrated into the overall business strategy

The objectives are

- Publicly available

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/corporate-responsibility/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/corporate-responsibility/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please see entire webpage. Please note Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its corporate name from HCP, Inc. in October 2019, and all policies, reports and filings referencing "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

2019 ESG Report - Please see page 5, 6, 9, 11, 14, 15, 21 and 22, as well as entire report for ESG strategy and goals.

- Not publicly available

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

As outlined in our 2019 ESG Report (pages 5-8), our sustainability (ESG) objectives are determined through an annual materiality assessment, in which we identify and prioritize our material topics and objectives for each ESG area. Our Environmental Objectives (pp. 9-13) comprise quantifiable priorities and goals including annual 1-2% (short-term) reduction targets for energy, GHG, water, waste, and recycling, as well as a 15% reduction rate for each by 2020 (long-term) from our 2011 baseline, and new long-term science-based targets aligned with 2 degree Celsius scenario planning (37.5% reduction for Scope 1 and 2, and 18.5% reduction for Scope 3, by 2033 against our 2018 baseline). Our Social Objectives (pp. 14-21) include our commitment to diversity, inclusion and equal opportunity, talent attraction, engagement and retention, and community and partner engagement. Our Governance Objectives (pp. 22-28) focus on adhering to best governance practices, ethics and compliance and disclosure transparency and engagement. Additionally, our Social Responsibility Committee's mission is to support the advancement of healthcare and disaster relief through charitable activities relating to healthcare research, education, and other activities. Our ESG objectives are fully integrated into our overall business strategy, and we identify risks and the business-related impacts as well as the sustainability-related impacts (pp. 24-26). By increasing the environmental efficiency at our properties, we not only reduce long-term operating costs, but are able to retain and attract green-minded tenants, which directly aligns with our overall business strategy for long-term investment and management of our portfolio to maximize the benefit to our stakeholders.

- No

LEADERSHIP

ESG Decision Making

LE3

Individual responsible for ESG

Does the entity have one or more persons responsible for implementing ESG objectives?

- Yes

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

- Dedicated employee(s) for whom ESG is the core responsibility

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Carol Samaan

Job title

Vice President - Corporate Counsel and ESG

Employee(s) for whom ESG is among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Tom Klaritch

Job title

Executive Vice President, Chief Development and Operating Officer

External consultants/manager

Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)

No

LE4

ESG taskforce/committee

Does the entity have an ESG taskforce or committee?

Yes

Select the members of this taskforce or committee (multiple answers possible)

Board of Directors

C-suite level staff

Investment Committee

Fund/portfolio managers

Asset managers

ESG portfolio manager

Investment analysts

Dedicated staff on ESG issues

External managers or service providers

Investor relations

Other

Other selected. Please describe

Human Resources, Legal, Finance

No

LE5

ESG senior decision-maker

Does the entity have a senior decision-maker accountable for ESG issues?

Yes

Provide the details for the most senior decision-maker on ESG issues

Name

Tom Herzog

Job title

CEO and Director

The individual's most senior role is as part of

Board of Directors

C-suite level staff

- Investment Committee
- Fund/portfolio managers
- Other

Describe the process of informing the most senior decision-maker on the ESG performance of the entity (maximum 250 words)

The process to inform our most senior-level decision makers, the Board of Directors, as well as Thomas Herzog, CEO, of ESG performance and efforts include the following:

- Quarterly Board of Directors Meetings – ESG is a standing agenda item to update our Board of Directors, the senior-most decision-making body of our company. These environmental, social and governance updates are provided to the Board quarterly regarding initiatives, performance against goals, disclosure, strategy, risks and opportunities.
- Monthly Management Meetings – Our CEO conducts monthly meetings with senior executives and the business leaders of each of our healthcare segments. In addition to presenting a discussion regarding financial and asset performance and operational information, each business leader reports on segment’s ESG initiatives and performance against our short-, medium- and long-term ESG objectives (as applicable) and other practices that have occurred since the previous meeting.
- Sustainability Committee Meetings – The Committee, which is comprised of individuals across all professional levels and functions, meets quarterly to discuss updates on strategy and implementation of our ESG objectives, actively reviews opportunities, and identifies risks and mitigating options in conveying directives to our CEO and our Board. Thomas Klaritch, the Chief Development and Operating Officer, is the chairperson of the Sustainability Committee, and Troy McHenry, the Chief Legal Officer and General Counsel, is Vice Chair. Both executives report on Committee progress during monthly executive meetings and quarterly Board of Directors meetings. Please also see pp. 24-26 of our 2019 ESG Report outlining this process.

No

LE6

Personnel ESG performance targets

Does the entity include ESG factors in the annual performance targets of personnel?

Yes

Does performance on these targets have predetermined consequences?

Yes

Financial consequences

Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible):

- Board of Directors
- C-suite level staff
- Investment Committee
- Fund/portfolio managers
- Asset managers
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated staff on ESG issues
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- All employees
- Other

Non-financial consequences

Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible):

- Board of Directors
- C-suite level staff
- Investment Committee
- Fund/portfolio managers
- Asset managers
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated staff on ESG issues
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- All employees
- Other

No

Provide applicable evidence

[PEAK \(Healthpeak Properties\) \(DEF 14A\) 2020-03-12.pdf .pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pg. 46-47 of the 2020 Proxy Statement describes 2019 compensation and performance. The Proxy Statement provides that the Compensation and Human Capital Committee of the Board of Directors took into account the sustainability and ESG contributions of Tom Klaritch, our Chief Development and Operating Officer, and Troy McHenry, our Chief Legal Officer, as a factor when determining their 2019 annual bonus (the "2019 STIP"). Notably, with respect to Tom Klaritch, the Committee noted that he "[h]eaded our internationally recognized sustainability efforts, including emissions and energy efficiency projects and adoption of long-term science-based targets aligned with 2°C scenario planning." With respect to Troy McHenry, the Committee noted, he "[e]nhanced ESG reporting efforts for over a dozen surveys, leading to significant year-over-year ESG score and rating improvements and numerous awards." Recognizing their significant contributions to our ESG performance and reporting, among other individual contributions, the Committee it was appropriate to award each officer the maximum level for the individual portion of their 2019 STIP bonus (financial consequences of C-Suite).

Show investors

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pages 24-25 of the 2019 ESG Report reflects that ESG performance factors into financial compensation for members of management responsible our ESG initiatives, including our Chief Development and Operating Officer, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Human Resources Officer, as well as other members of our Sustainability Committee, including Carol Samaan, VP - Corporate Counsel and ESG; Jack Garrett, VP of Capital Asset Manager - MOB Properties; and Brittany Harris, Director - Legal and ESG (showing financial consequences of asset managers and ESG personnel).

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Answer redacted]

Show investors

[Answer redacted]

Show investors

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

[Answer redacted]

Show investors

No

POLICIES

ESG Policies

P01

Policy on environmental issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on environmental issues?

 Yes

Select all environmental issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Indoor environmental quality
- Material sourcing
- Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Sustainable procurement
- Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pages 1-13 of the 2019 ESG Report sets forth our various environmental policies.

 Show investors[Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-01.30.2020.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 15 under "Sustainability" sets forth our sustainable procurement policy.

 Show investors[Vendor-Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-01.30.20.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 7 under "Environmental Sustainability" sets forth our sustainable procurement policy and expectations for vendors and members of our supply chain.

 Show investors No

P02

Policy on social issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on social issues?

 Yes

Select all social issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Child labor
- Community development
- Customer satisfaction
- Employee engagement
- Employee health & well-being
- Employee remuneration
- Forced or compulsory labor
- Freedom of association
- Health and safety: community
- Health and safety: contractors

- Health and safety: employees
- Health and safety: tenants/customers
- Human rights
- Inclusion and diversity
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Social enterprise partnering
- Stakeholder relations
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pages 14-21 of the ESG Report outlines our various policies on social issues.

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Entire page, and specifically, the Diversity and Human Rights Policy

No

P03

Policy on governance issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on governance issues?

Yes

Select all governance issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Bribery and corruption
- Cybersecurity
- Data protection and privacy
- Executive compensation
- Fiduciary duty
- Fraud
- Political contributions
- Shareholder rights
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

[P03 Upload.zip](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please see table of contents. Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and files referring to "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pages 22-29 of the 2019 ESG Report outlines our Governance initiatives. Page 23 outlines specific best governance practices we have adopted and page 27 outlines the guidelines in our Codes of Conduct.

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Entire page

[Code-of-Business-Conduct-and-Ethics-01.30.2020.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Entire document reflects our guidelines on ethics and compliance, including prohibitions on political contributions, bribery and kickbacks, antitrust and anti-competition, environmental hazards, and employment discrimination or harassment, as well as guidelines for occupational health and safety

Show investors

No

REPORTING

ESG Disclosure

RP1

ESG reporting

Does the entity disclose its ESG actions and/or performance?

Yes

Please select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

Section in Annual Report

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
 Investment manager
 Group

Aligned with

Other selected. Please describe:

Disclosure is third-party reviewed:

- Yes
 No

Provide applicable evidence

[Form 10-K filed by HEALTHPEAK PROPERTIES, INC. on 2020-02-13.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Show investors

Stand-alone sustainability report(s)

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
 Investment manager
 Group

Aligned with

Disclosure is third-party reviewed:

- Yes
 Externally checked
 Externally verified
 Externally assured

using

No

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 42 provides the statement of verification from our third-party assurer. See specifically the conclusion, which provides: "We have found that Healthpeak has adhered to the AA1000AS principles of materiality and responsiveness, and that their sustainability performance information is complete and accurate." Environmental indicators were reviewed "in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, and reporting boundaries. Social indicators were reviewed "in accordance with AccountAbility's AA1000 AS (2008) standard, Type 2 engagement: Sustainability Performance Information"

Show investors

- Integrated Report
 Dedicated section on corporate website

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
 Investment manager
 Group

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/ESG>

https://www.healthpeak.com/ESG

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See whole page and associated links on page

- Section in entity reporting to investors
 Other

No

REPORTING

ESG Incident Monitoring

RP2.1

ESG incident monitoring

Does the entity have a process to monitor ESG-related controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents, accidents, or breaches against the codes of conduct/ethics?

Yes

The process includes external communication of controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents to:

- Clients/Customers
 Community/Public
 Contractors
 Employees
 Investors/Shareholders
 Regulators/Government
 Special interest groups (NGOs, Trade Unions, etc)
 Suppliers
 Other stakeholders

Describe the process (maximum 250 words)

Our whistleblower hotline mechanism is available to our directors, employees, vendors, contractors and other stakeholders to report ESG-related misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents. Any complaints go to our head of Legal, Human Resources and Internal Audit, and if involving a director or executive officer or otherwise material, will be forwarded to our Board, and a Current Report on Form 8-K will be filed with the SEC and published on our website.

No

RP2.2

ESG incident occurrences

Has the entity been involved in any ESG-related breaches that resulted in fines or penalties during the reporting year?

- Yes
 No

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Management

RM1

Environmental Management System (EMS)

Does the entity have an Environmental Management System (EMS)?

- Yes
- The EMS is aligned with a standard
 - ISO 14001
 - EMAS (EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme)
 - Other
 - The EMS is externally certified by an independent third party
 - The EMS is not aligned with a standard nor certified externally

Provide applicable evidence

[Healthpeak EMS_DMS Alignment_GRESB 2020.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please see entire document.

 Show investors No

RM2

Process to implement governance policies

Does the entity have processes to implement governance policy/policies?

- Yes
- Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)
- Compliance linked to employee remuneration
 - Dedicated help desks, focal points, ombudsman, hotlines
 - Disciplinary actions in case of breach, i.e. warning, dismissal, zero tolerance policy
 - Employee performance appraisal systems integrate compliance with codes of conduct
 - Investment due diligence process
 - Responsibilities, accountabilities and reporting lines are systematically defined in all divisions and group companies
 - Training related to governance risks for employees (multiple answers possible)
 - Regular follow-ups
 - When an employee joins the organization
 - Whistle-blower mechanism
 - Other
- No
- Not applicable

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Assessments

RM3.1

Social risk assessments

Has the entity performed social risk assessments within the last three years?

- Yes
- Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)
- Child labor
 - Community development

- Controversies linked to social enterprise partnering
- Customer satisfaction
- Employee engagement
- Employee health & well-being
- Forced or compulsory labor
- Freedom of association
- Health and safety: community
- Health and safety: contractors
- Health and safety: employees
- Health and safety: tenants/customers
- Health and safety: supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
- Human rights
- Inclusion and diversity
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Stakeholder relations
- Other

No

RM3.2

Governance risk assessments

Has the entity performed governance risk assessments within the last three years?

Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Bribery and corruption
- Cybersecurity
- Data protection and privacy
- Executive compensation
- Fiduciary duty
- Fraud
- Political contributions
- Shareholder rights
- Other

No

RM4

ESG due diligence for new acquisitions

Does the entity perform asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments as a standard part of its due diligence process for new acquisitions?

Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety
- Climate/Climate change adaptation
- Compliance with regulatory requirements
- Contaminated land
- Energy efficiency
- Energy supply
- Flooding
- GHG emissions
- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Natural hazards
- Socio-economic
- Transportation
- Waste management
- Water efficiency
- Water supply
- Other

- No
- Not applicable

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Employees

SE1

Employee training

Does the entity provide training and development for employees?

 YesPercentage of employees who received professional training during the reporting year Percentage of employees who received ESG-specific during the reporting year

ESG-specific training focuses on (multiple answers possible):

- Environmental issues
- Social issues
- Governance issues

 No

SE2.1

Employee satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken an employee satisfaction survey within the last three years?

 Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

- Internally
- By an independent third party

Percentage of employees covered Survey response rate

Provide applicable evidence

[Healthpeak 2019 Employee Engagement Survey Results.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

 Show investors[2019 Engagement Scores Page \[2019\].pptx](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

 Show investors[SE2.1 Kingsley Employee Survey - Net Promoter Score Backup.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

 Show investors[Healthpeak Properties 2019 Employee Engagment Survey.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

 Show investors[2019 Employee Survey Email.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

See email sent to all employees to participate in Employee Survey

Show investors

The survey includes quantitative metrics

Yes

Metrics include

- Net Promoter Score
- Overall satisfaction score
- Other

No

No

SE2.2

Employee engagement program

Does the entity have a program in place to improve its employee satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in SE2.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Planning and preparation for engagement
- Development of action plan
- Implementation
- Training
- Program review and evaluation
- Feedback sessions with c-suite level staff
- Feedback sessions with separate teams/departments
- Focus groups
- Other

No

Not applicable

SE3.1

Employee health & well-being program

Does the entity have a program in place for promoting health & well-being of employees?

Yes

The program includes (multiple answers possible):

- Needs assessment
- Goal setting
- Action
- Monitoring

No

SE3.2

Employee health & well-being measures

Does the entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for employees described in SE3.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

Needs assessment

The entity monitors employee health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):

Employee surveys on health and well-being

Physical and/or mental health checks

Percentage of employees

Other

Creation of goals to address:

Mental health and well-being

Physical health and well-being

Social health and well-being

Other

Action to promote health through:

Acoustic comfort

Biophilic design

Childcare facilities contributions

Flexible working hours

Healthy eating

Humidity

Illumination

Inclusive design

Indoor air quality

Lighting controls and/or daylight

Noise control

Paid maternity leave in excess of legally required minimum

Paid paternity leave in excess of legally required minimum

Physical activity

Physical and/or mental healthcare access

Social interaction and connection

Thermal comfort

Water quality

Working from home arrangements

Other

Monitor outcomes by tracking:

Environmental quality

Population experience and opinions

Program performance

Other

No

Not applicable

SE4

Employee safety indicators

Has the entity monitored conditions for and / or tracked indicators of employee safety during the last three years?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

Work station and/or workplace checks

Percentage of employees

Absentee rate

1.03

Injury rate

0

Lost day rate

0

Other metrics

Explain the employee occupational safety indicators calculation method (maximum 250 words)

- Absentee Rate. Total number of days of absenteeism divided by the total number of workdays, based on number of employees.
- Lost Time Injury Frequency. As reported in our DJSI response, we calculate this rate as lost-time injuries per 1 million hours worked. In our GRI report, we calculate the number of affected employees.

No

SE5

Inclusion and diversity

Does the entity monitor inclusion and diversity?

Yes

Diversity of the entity's governance bodies

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

- Age group distribution
- Board tenure
- Gender pay gap
- Gender ratio

Percentage of employees that identify as:

Women 50

Men 50

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background

Diversity of the organization's employees

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

Age group distribution

Percentage of employees that are:

Under 30 years old 12

Between 30 and 50 years old 68

Over 50 years old 20

- Gender pay gap
- Gender ratio

Percentage of employees that are:

Women 49

Men 51

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background

Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words)

The company's Board of Directors has direct oversight over diversity and human capital initiatives for our governance bodies (the Board of Directors and its committees), as well as the organization's employees.

- The Board of Directors amended our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter to formalize our Board's commitment to identifying diverse director candidates, noting in the Charter: "the Committee shall strive to identify such candidates that create diversity in its broadest sense, including, but not limited to, profession, skills, perspective, gender, ethnicity and experience in the Board as a whole."
- The Board of Directors adopted a mandatory director retirement policy with an age limit to ensure Board refreshment and diversity of skills, opinions and experience on the Board.
- The Board of Directors amended the Compensation and Human Capital Committee Charter and formally renamed the Committee to reflect the Board's oversight over human capital matters, including diversity of the company's employees. The Charter specifically provides: "The purpose of the Compensation and Human Capital Committee (the "Committee") of the Board of the Company is... (D) to oversee the Company's human capital programs and policies, including with respect to management development, succession planning and diversity and inclusion initiatives... The Committee shall periodically review human capital matters with respect to the Company, which may include but is not limited to the Company's demographics, diversity and inclusion initiatives, employee retention and employee compensation practices."

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

- Pages 16-17: Board gender diversity, employee gender, age and racial diversity, and steps the Board has taken to address diversity and human capital management
 - Page 33: Employee ethnicity, gender pay ratio by employee (men/women)

 Show investors[Healthpeak Properties Proxy 2020.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 9 - Breakdown of Board gender, racial diversity, age and tenure
 Page 11 - Employee gender and racial diversity

 Show investors No

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Suppliers

SE6

Supply chain engagement program

Does the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its procurement processes?

 Yes

Select elements of the supply chain engagement program (multiple answers possible)

- Developing or applying ESG policies
- Planning and preparation for engagement
- Development of action plan
- Implementation of engagement plan
- Training
- Program review and evaluation
- Feedback sessions with stakeholders
- Other

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Business ethics
- Child labor
- Environmental process standards
- Environmental product standards
- Health and safety: employees
- Health and well-being
- Human health-based product standards
- Human rights
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Other

Select the external parties to whom the requirements apply (multiple answers possible)

- Contractors
- Suppliers
- Supply chain (beyond 1 tier suppliers and contractors)
- Other

No

SE7.1

Monitoring property/asset managers

Does the entity monitor property/asset managers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

Yes

The entity monitors compliance of:

- Internal property/asset managers
- External property/asset managers
- Both internal and external property/asset managers

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

- Checks performed by independent third party
- Property/asset manager ESG training
- Property/asset manager self-assessments
- Regular meetings and/or checks performed by the entity's employees
- Require external property/asset managers' alignment with a professional standard
- Other

No

Not applicable

SE7.2

Monitoring external suppliers/service providers

Does the entity monitor other direct external suppliers' and/or service providers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

Yes

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

- Checks performed by an independent third party
- Regular meetings and/or checks performed by external property/asset managers
- Regular meetings and/or checks performed by the entity's employees
- Require supplier/service providers' alignment with a professional standard
- Supplier/service provider ESG training
- Supplier/service provider self-assessments
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

Internal supply chain monitoring and screening of our top 25 suppliers by spend across objective ESG factors including human rights, diversity, environmental policies and liabilities, and corporate governance and compliance policies, as detailed on page 27 of the 2019 ESG Report

No

Not applicable

SE8

Stakeholder grievance process

Is there a formal process for stakeholders to communicate grievances?

Yes

Select all characteristics applicable to the process (multiple answers possible)

- Accessible and easy to understand

- Anonymous
- Dialogue based
- Equitable & rights compatible
- Improvement based
- Legitimate & safe
- Predictable
- Prohibitive against retaliation
- Transparent
- Other

Which stakeholders does the process apply to? (multiple answers possible)

- Contractors
- Suppliers
- Supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
- Clients/Customers
- Community/Public
- Employees
- Investors/Shareholders
- Regulators/Government
- Special interest groups (NGO's, Trade Unions, etc)
- Other

No

REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

R1.1

The entity's standing investments portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Property type	Number of Assets	Floor Area (ft ²)	% GAV
Healthcare: Other	82	3175538.0	5
Healthcare: Senior Homes	276	34460391.0	39
Office: Medical Office	266	20080953.0	29
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	111	5902438.0	27
Total	735	63619320.0	100.0

Note: This table is generated by GRESB and represents an aggregation of the data provided at the asset level. It is provided for review purposes and defines the scope of your 2020 GRESB Performance Component submission. It should reflect the total standing investments portfolio and exclude any development and/or major renovation projects, exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity. You are not able to amend information in this table, with the exception of "% GAV" (this is because GAV is an optional field at asset level and cannot be used for aggregation). Please note that % GAV is used for entity and peer group classification and should accurately reflect the composition of the portfolio.

Provide applicable evidence

[reporting_scope_evidence_R1.1_Healthpeak.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 1

Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition in R1.1 (maximum 1000 words).

The above GAV % breakdown is based on Healthpeak's Standing Investments.

Countries/states included in the entity's standing investments portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Country	% GAV
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	2
United States of America	98

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Assessments

RA1

Risk assessments performed on standing investments portfolio

Has the entity performed asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments of its standing investments during the last three years?

 Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety and materials
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Contaminated land
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Energy efficiency
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Energy supply
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Flooding
Percentage of portfolio covered
- GHG emissions
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Health and well-being
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Indoor environmental quality
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Natural hazards
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Regulatory
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Resilience
- Socio-economic
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Transportation
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Waste management
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Water efficiency
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Water supply
Percentage of portfolio covered
- Other

The risk assessment is aligned with a third-party standard

- Yes
 - ISO 31000
 - Other
- No

Describe how the outcomes of the ESG risk assessments are used in order to mitigate the selected risks (maximum 250 words)

- Risk Exposure Methodology. Risks are identified by the leaders of our business segments and our executive management through facilitated discussions with our Internal Audit team (IA). The main environmental risks to which we are exposed are defined as those that could adversely affect strategic, operational, reporting and compliance objectives. These identified risks, among others, are then watched for in the Property Condition Assessment (PCA).
- Level of Implementation. Parties included in the PCA: Healthpeak staff engineers, property operators, engineers.
- Risk Mitigation. Data is collected during the PCA from facility tours, interviews with property operators and local engineering staff, and evaluations of the environmental systems. The data is input into our PCA Report System database for monitoring and used as a basis for determining risk mitigation activities. If inadequate flood insurance is an identified risk, we will endeavor to mitigate the cause of the risk (i.e., failure to reassess and supplement insurance policy regularly despite the reoccurrence of extreme weather events such as El Nino) through change in internal policy (i.e., mandatory annual insurance reassessments in the context of extreme weather predictions), as well as to mitigate the effects of the risk (i.e., monetary damage to property exceeds insurance coverage) through viable meaningful actions (i.e., purchasing more robust insurance in consideration of El Nino research and statistics).
- Follow-up Procedure. If an identified risk occurs, it will be reassessed and reviewed by our business leaders and IA for additional mitigation steps, and prioritized in ranking within the risk universe.

- No

Technical building assessments

Technical building assessments performed during the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Topic	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Energy	735	100.0
Water	735	100.0
Waste	735	100.0

RISK ASSESSMENT

Efficiency Measures

RA3

Energy efficiency measures

Energy efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Energy efficiency measures	Number of assets % of portfolio covered	
Automatic meter readings (AMR)		
Automation system upgrades / replacements	24	3.74
Management systems upgrades / replacements	50	7.12
Installation of high-efficiency equipment and appliances	208	41.16
Installation of on-site renewable energy		
Occupier engagement / informational technologies		
Smart grid / smart building technologies	15	2.97
Systems commissioning or retro-commissioning	1	0.68
Wall / roof insulation	23	3.04
Window replacements	9	1.19

RA4

Water efficiency measures

Water efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Water efficiency measures	Number of assets % of portfolio covered	
Automatic meter readings (AMR)		
Cooling tower		
Drip / smart irrigation		
Drought tolerant / native landscaping	14	0.83
High efficiency / dry fixtures	162	21.54
Leak detection system	51	6.29
Metering of water subsystems		
On-site waste water treatment		
Reuse of storm water and/or grey water		

RA5

Waste management measures

Waste management measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Waste management measures	Number of assets % of portfolio covered	
Composting landscape and/or food waste	10	3.55
Ongoing waste performance monitoring	359	64.74
Recycling	259	49.32
Waste stream management	359	64.74
Waste stream audit		

TARGETS
Targets

Portfolio improvement targets

Has the entity set long-term performance improvement targets?

 Yes

Area	Target type	Long-term target (%)	Baseline year	End year	Are these targets communicated externally?
Energy consumption	Absolute	15	2011	2020	Yes
Renewable energy use	"		"	"	"
GHG emissions	Absolute	37.5	2018	2033	Yes
Water consumption	Absolute	15	2011	2020	Yes
Waste diverted from landfill	Absolute	15	2011	2020	Yes
Building Certifications	"		"	"	"
Data Coverage	"		"	"	"
Recycling	Absolute	15	2011	2020	Yes

Explain the methodology used to establish the targets and communicate the anticipated pathways to achieve these targets (maximum 250 words)

Healthpeak has established long-term targets for GHG emissions reduction, energy consumption, water consumption, waste and recycling (15% for each metric), to be achieved by the end of 2020 against a 2011 baseline year. Healthpeak also strives to obtain green building certifications (ENERGY STAR or LEED) for all new development and redevelopment to the greatest extent feasible.

*In 2019, Healthpeak also adopted NEW long-term science-based GHG emissions reduction targets. For scope 1 and 2 emissions, the new target is a reduction of absolute emissions 37.5% by 2033 from a 2018 base year, and for Scope 3, the reduction target is 18.5% by 2033 from a 2018 base year. The new science-based targets meet the well below 2 C pathway under the Absolute Contraction approach of the Science Based Targets Initiative. The above targets will be achieved via sustainability projects undertaken across the Healthpeak portfolio such as Lighting Retrofits, Energy Efficient HVAC Systems, Smart Building™ Technology, Solar Panels and other renewable energy initiatives.

 No

T1.2

Science-based targets

Is the entity's GHG emissions target science-based?

Yes

Has the target been approved by the Science-Based Targets initiative?

Yes

No

Select the scope of the science-based target:

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

Scope 1+2 (location-based)

Scope 1+2 (market-based)

Scope 1+2 (location-based) + Scope 3

Scope 1+2 (market-based) + Scope 3

Scope 3

Other

No

Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Tenants/Occupiers

TC1

Tenant engagement program

Does the entity have a tenant engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

 Yes

Select all approaches to engage tenants (multiple answers possible)

 Building/asset communication Feedback sessions with individual tenants Provide tenants with feedback on energy/water consumption and waste Social media/online platform Tenant engagement meetings Tenant ESG guide Tenant ESG training Tenant events focused on increasing ESG awareness Other

Describe the tenant engagement program and methods used to improve tenant satisfaction (maximum 250 words)

Our satisfaction improvement program team reviews feedback received from our property managers and tenants and prepares an implementation plan to incorporate the feedback as well as other improvements. The engagement program specifically addresses questions relating to ESG and sustainability initiatives that tenants would like to see or use most frequently, and initiatives are developed taking into account tenant feedback.

 No

TC2.1

Tenant satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken tenant satisfaction surveys within the last three years?

 Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

 Internally By an independent third partyPercentage of tenants covered Survey response rate

The survey includes quantitative metrics

 Yes

Metrics include

 Net Promoter Score Overall satisfaction score

- Satisfaction with communication
- Satisfaction with property management
- Satisfaction with responsiveness
- Understanding tenant needs
- Value for money
- Other

No

Provide applicable evidence

[TC2.1 Tenant Satisfaction Survey.zip](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

The evidence is within the survey responses spreadsheet, and the summary of the survey responses. Please note that Healthpeak Properties, Inc. formally changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files referencing "HCP" are those of Healthpeak. The value for money is determined based on a combination of the various features offered by the buildings, and the reason for renewal and non-renewal based on price. The net promoter score asks likelihood of referring Healthpeak and lease renewal based on 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree)

Show investors

No

TC2.2

Program to improve tenant satisfaction

Does the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Development of an asset-specific action plan
- Feedback sessions with asset/property managers
- Feedback sessions with individual tenants
- Other

Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words)

Our 2019 tenant satisfaction survey was delivered via a web based methodology to over 2,000 our tenants and we achieved a response rate of 89%. The survey included 7 questions related to Sustainability and green initiatives (p.7) including tenant satisfaction with our commitment to sustainability, their likelihood of participating in various programs, how various initiatives would influence their rental decision and the importance of sustainability to their employees and customers. Our satisfaction improvement program team reviews feedback received from our property managers and tenants and prepares an implementation plan to incorporate the feedback as well as other improvements. Please note that Healthpeak Properties, Inc. formally changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files referencing "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.

No

Not applicable

TC3

Fit-out & refurbishment program for tenants on ESG

Does the entity have a fit-out and refurbishment program in place for tenants that includes ESG-specific issues?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Fit-out and refurbishment assistance for meeting the minimum fit-out standards

- Tenant fit-out guides

- Minimum fit-out standards are prescribed

- Procurement assistance for tenants
- Other

No

TC4

ESG-specific requirements in lease contracts (green leases)

Does the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its standard lease contracts?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

Cooperation and works:

- Environmental initiatives
- Enabling upgrade works
- ESG management collaboration
- Premises design for performance
- Managing waste from works
- Social initiatives
- Other

Management and consumption:

- Energy management
- Water management
- Waste management
- Indoor environmental quality management
- Sustainable procurement
- Sustainable utilities
- Sustainable transport
- Sustainable cleaning
- Other

Reporting and standards:

- Information sharing
- Performance rating
- Design/development rating
- Performance standards
- Metering
- Comfort
- Other

Percentage lease contracts with an ESG clause (by floor area)

100

No

TC5.1

Tenant health & well-being program

Does the entity have a program for promoting health & wellbeing of tenants, customers, and local surrounding communities?

Yes

The program includes (multiple answers possible):

- Needs assessment
- Goal setting
- Action
- Monitoring

No

TC5.2

Tenant health & well-being measures

Does the entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for tenants and local communities described in TC5.1?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

- Needs assessment
- Creation of goals to address:
 - Mental health and well-being
 - Physical health and well-being
 - Social health and well-being
 - Other
- Action to promote health through:
 - Acoustic comfort
 - Biophilic design
 - Community development
 - Physical activity
 - Healthy eating
 - Hosting health-related activities for surrounding community
 - Improving infrastructure in areas surrounding assets
 - Inclusive design
 - Indoor air quality
 - Lighting controls and/or daylight
 - Physical and/or mental healthcare access
 - Social interaction and connection
 - Thermal comfort
 - Urban regeneration
 - Water quality
 - Other activity in surrounding community
 - Other building design and construction strategy
 - Other building operations strategy
 - Other programmatic intervention
- Monitor outcomes by tracking:
 - Environmental quality
 - Program performance
 - Population experience and opinions
 - Other

No

Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Community

TC6.1

Community engagement program

Does the entity have a community engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Community health and well-being
- Effective communication and process to address community concerns
- Enhancement programs for public spaces
- Employment creation in local communities
- Research and network activities
- Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster
- Supporting charities and community groups
- ESG education program
- Other

Describe the community engagement program and the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

Our Social Responsibility Committee drives our engagement related to our support of charities and local community groups and is responsible for oversight of our charitable and volunteer activities. The Committee partners with organizations that share our desire to support research, education, and other activities related to healthcare (in line with our company's core business strategy) and emergency disaster relief (in line with our commitment to addressing climate risk). It also aims to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations. In 2019, we donated nearly a half million dollars to entities aligned with the Committee's mission to support the advancement of healthcare, senior communities, and emergency disaster relief. The Committee engages local healthcare organizations that support or mission, and invites representatives to present and speak at meetings before a charitable contribution decision is made. As part of the monitoring process, the representative is asked to come back and provide an updated presentation to the Committee to show how the contributions were used and the progress made.

Some recent examples include contributions to Walk for Alzheimer's, which supports research for Alzheimer's disease, directly aligning with our core business strategy focusing on senior healthcare; Nurses for Newborn, an organization providing health education and resources to new mothers in the local community; PENCIL, which provides educational opportunities and resources to underprivileged and underrepresented students in the community (aligning with social initiatives).

No

TC6.2

Monitoring impact on community

Does the entity monitor its impact on the community?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Housing affordability
- Impact on crime levels
- Livability score
- Local income generated
- Local residents' well-being
- Walkability score
- Other

No

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Energy Consumption

Energy consumption

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

Floor Areas	Floor Area (ft ²)
Whole Building	3175538.0
Landlord Controlled	
Tenant Controlled	3175538.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
Landlord Controlled	
Tenant Controlled	

Floor Areas	Floor Area (ft ²)
Whole Building	34460391.0
Landlord Controlled	26422815.0
Tenant Controlled	8037576.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
Landlord Controlled	
Tenant Controlled	

Floor Areas	Floor Area (ft ²)
Whole Building	20080953.0
Landlord Controlled	14950042.0
Tenant Controlled	5130911.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
Landlord Controlled	
Tenant Controlled	

Floor Areas	Floor Area (ft ²)
Whole Building	5902438.0
Landlord Controlled	4565805.0
Tenant Controlled	1336633.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
Landlord Controlled	
Tenant Controlled	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the total area size reported in the Energy tab, split by floor area types.

Total energy consumption of the portfolio

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

			2018		Absolute 2019		Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Like-for-Like 2019	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)		Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
	Electricity								
Tenant Controlled	Fuels								
	District Heating & Cooling								
Sub-total		Electricity	0.0	0.0	0.0	3175538.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
Sub-total		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
			2018		Absolute 2019		Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Like-for-Like 2019	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)		Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	158852.95	156773.37	23748948.0	23748948.0	110036.93	108069.99	17687809.0
		District Heating & Cooling							
	Electricity	288116.85	281917.94	23894312.0	26422815.0	203841.97	197642.7	17433571.0	
Tenant Controlled	Fuels	32155.69	32512.05	4631283.0	4631283.0	32155.69	32512.05	4631283.0	
	District Heating & Cooling								
Electricity	48648.99	47819.51	4631283.0	8037576.0	48648.99	47819.51	4631283.0		
Sub-total		Electricity	527774.49	519022.86	N/A	N/A	394683.58	386044.24	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating & Cooling							
Sub-total		Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
		Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			527774.49	519022.86	N/A	N/A	394683.58	386044.24	N/A
			2018		Absolute 2019		Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Like-for-Like 2019	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)		Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	93175.64	92083.36	9585051.0	9585051.0	88656.36	87478.85	8986601.0
		District Heating & Cooling	8103.21	8030.91	927216.0	927216.0	8103.21	8030.91	927216.0
	Electricity	288818.79	281746.91	14407581.0	14950042.0	262119.13	255034.97	13337164.0	

			2018		Absolute 2019		Like-for-Like 2019			
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity			0.0	5130911.0				
	Sub-total		390097.64	381861.18	N/A	N/A	358878.7	350544.73		N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
	Sub-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total		390097.64	381861.18	N/A	N/A	358878.7	350544.73		N/A
			2018		Absolute 2019		Like-for-Like 2019			
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity	68160.04	71244.64	3154391.0	3154391.0	67003.24	70087.84		2670972.0
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity	79822.15	79019.01	3798788.0	4565805.0	76867.48	76064.34		3315369.0
	Sub-total		147982.19	150263.65	0.0	1336633.0	143870.72	146152.18		N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity								
	Sub-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total		147982.19	150263.65	N/A	N/A	143870.72	146152.18		N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Energy consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes (%)

Total data coverage of the portfolio

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Data Coverage Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Like-for-Like 2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled					
Tenant Controlled					
	0.0				0.0

	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Data Coverage		Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Like-for-Like	
		Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)			2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	91.47	89.0		93.43	-2.6	70.0
Tenant Controlled	57.62	46.0		58.61	-0.59	73.11
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Data Coverage		Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Like-for-Like	
		Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)			2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	96.85	97.0		97.74	-2.32	91.32
Tenant Controlled	0.0					0.0
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Data Coverage		Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Like-for-Like	
		Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)			2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	84.65	72.0		83.84	1.59	77.54
Tenant Controlled	0.0					0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Renewable energy generated

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

	2018		2019		Consumption 2019/2018 change (%)
	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	
Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site					
Generated and exported by landlord					
Generated by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site					
Purchased by Landlord					
Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2018		2019		Consumption 2019/2018 change (%)
	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	
Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site					
Generated and exported by landlord					
Generated by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site					
Purchased by Landlord					
Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2018		2019		Consumption 2019/2018 change (%)
	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	
Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site					
Generated and exported by landlord					
Generated by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site					
Purchased by Landlord	60171.47	15.42	58576.37	15.34	
Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site - Sub-total	60171.47	15.42	58576.37	15.34	-0.08
Renewable Energy - Total	60171.47	15.42	58576.37	15.34	-0.08
	2018		2019		Consumption 2019/2018 change (%)
	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	Consumption (MWh) % of total	Consumption	
Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site					
Generated and exported by landlord					
Generated by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site					
Purchased by Landlord					
Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Renewable Energy consumed/generated per property type, either on-site or off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

GHG EMISSIONS

GHG Emissions

GHG emissions

Total GHG emissions of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2018		2019		2018		2019	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Scope 1								
	Scope 2 Location Based								
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)								
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Scope 3			0.0	3175538.0				
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Scope 1			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Location Based			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
GHG Offsets	Scope 3			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Net GHG Emissions after offsets			N/A	N/A				N/A
		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2018		2019		2018		2019	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Scope 1	29204.97	28836.0	23748948.0	23748948.0	20357.69	20009.15	17687809.0	
	Scope 2 Location Based	129770.71	126954.24	23894312.0	26422815.0	93467.59	90639.35	17433571.0	
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)								
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	158975.68	155790.25	N/A	N/A	113825.28	110648.5		N/A
	Scope 3	26854.78	26507.84	4631283.0	8037576.0	26854.78	26507.84	4631283.0	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	185830.45	182298.09	N/A	N/A	140680.06	137156.35		N/A
	Scope 1			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Location Based			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
GHG Offsets	Scope 3			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Net GHG Emissions after offsets			N/A	N/A				N/A
		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2018		2019		2018		2019	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Scope 1	16914.22	16717.41	9585051.0	9585051.0	16093.46	15881.21	8986601.0	
	Scope 2 Location Based	105547.42	102652.45	11810166.0	14950042.0	96106.11	93263.81	11083220.0	
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)								
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	122461.64	119369.86	N/A	N/A	112199.57	109145.02		N/A
	Scope 3			0.0	5130911.0				
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	122461.64	119369.86	N/A	N/A	112199.57	109145.02		N/A
	Scope 1			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Location Based			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A

		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2018		2019		2018		2019	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
GHG Offsets	Scope 3				N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0		N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
					N/A				N/A
	Net GHG Emissions after offsets				N/A				N/A
		Absolute				Like-for-Like			
		2018		2019		2018		2019	
		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)
Whole Building	Scope 1	12354.83	12913.37	3154391.0	3154391.0	12145.18	12703.71	2670972.0	2670972.0
	Scope 2 Location Based	19352.19	19161.74	3798788.0	4565805.0	18642.08	18451.63	3315369.0	3315369.0
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)			-	-				
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	31707.02	32075.11		N/A	30787.26	31155.35		N/A
	Scope 3				0.0	1336633.0			
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	31707.02	32075.11		N/A	30787.26	31155.35		N/A
	Scope 1				N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Location Based				N/A				N/A
	Scope 2 Market Based (optional)				N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0		N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
GHG Offsets	Scope 3				N/A				N/A
	Total Scope 1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0		N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Net GHG Emissions after offsets				N/A				N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated GHG emissions values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like changes (%) in emissions.

Note: Scope 3 emissions in the 2020 GRESB Assessment are calculated as the emissions associated with tenant areas, unless they are already reported as Scope 1 or Scope 2 emissions (if they cannot be disassociated from emissions from other areas). Scope 3 emissions do not include emissions generated through the entity's operations or by its employees, transmission losses or upstream supply chain emissions.

Total data coverage of the portfolio

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2					
Scope 3	0.0				0.0
	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	91.47		89.0	93.43	-2.79
Scope 3	57.62		46.0	58.61	-1.29
	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	83.88		87.0	84.64	-2.72
Scope 3	0.0				0.0
	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	84.65		72.0	83.84	1.2
Scope 3	0.0				0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by emission Scopes. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Explain (a) the GHG emissions calculation standard/methodology/protocol, (b) used emission factors, (c) level of uncertainty in data accuracy, (d) source and characteristics of GHG emissions offsets (maximum 250 words).

WATER USE
Water Use

Water use

Total water consumption of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2018		2019		2018		2019	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled			0.0	3175538.0				
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled								
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled								
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2018		2019		2018		2019	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	3175107.91	3138659.71	22239797.0	26422815.0	2204156.4	2165378.17	16806499.0	
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	779619.54	758619.95	4631283.0	8037576.0	779619.54	758619.95	4631283.0	
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	3954727.45	3897279.67	N/A	N/A	2983775.95	2923998.12	N/A	
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2018		2019		2018		2019	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	1376169.03	1296559.06	13602536.0	14950042.0	1240323.73	1167766.18	12532119.0	
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	1376169.03	1296559.06	N/A	5130911.0	N/A	1167766.18	N/A	
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled								
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled								
	Sub-total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Total	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2018		2019		2018		2019	
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	Maximum Floor Area (ft ²)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (ft ²)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	348057.03	329864.72	3723046.0	4565805.0	337858.01	319665.7	3295763.0	

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2018	2018	2019	2019	2018	2019	2019	
			Consumption	Consumption	Floor Area	Maximum Floor	Area Consumption	Consumption	Floor Area	Covered
			(m ³)	(m ³)	(ft ²)	(ft ²)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(ft ²)	(ft ²)
		Tenant Controlled			0.0	1336633.0				
	Sub-total		348057.03	329864.72	N/A	N/A	337858.01	319665.7		N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled								
	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled								
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled								
		Tenant Controlled								
	Sub-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0		N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled	47063.21	39339.01	N/A	N/A	47063.21	39339.01		N/A
		Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A				N/A
	Total		47063.21	39339.01	N/A	N/A	47063.21	39339.01		N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Water consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes (%).

Total data coverage of the portfolio

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Data Coverage Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	2019/2018 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	Like-for-Like Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled						
Tenant Controlled	0.0					0.0
Landlord Controlled	84.17	77.0	86.27	-1.76		63.61
Tenant Controlled	57.62	46.0	58.61	-2.69		57.62
Landlord Controlled	90.99	89.0	91.82	-5.85		83.83
Tenant Controlled	0.0					0.0
Landlord Controlled	81.54	69.0	81.31	-6.73		72.18
Tenant Controlled	0.0					0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Reused and recycled water

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

	2018 Consumption (m ³) % of total Consumption		2019 Consumption (m ³) % of total Consumption		2019/2018 change (%)
On-site On-site water reuse					
On-site On-site water capture					
On-site On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site Off-site purchased	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
On-site On-site water reuse					
On-site On-site water capture					
On-site On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site Off-site purchased	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
On-site On-site water reuse					
On-site On-site water capture					
On-site On-site water extraction					
On-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site Off-site purchased	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused and Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It

displays the aggregated Reused and Recycled water captured/purchased per property type, on-site and off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste Management

Waste management

Total waste generation of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

		2018			2019			Absolute	
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight %	
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%	
	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%	
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%	
		2018			2019			Absolute	
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight %	
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	17440.65	86.3	0.0	18006.07	86.3	76.68%	
	Tenant Controlled	0.0	4530.54	48.04	0.0	4530.54	48.04	23.32%	
Total waste generation		0.0	21971.19	134.34	0.0	22536.61	134.34	100.0%	
		2018			2019			Absolute	
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight %	
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	14210.75	83.07	0.0	14453.53	83.07	74.45%	
	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.55%	
Total waste generation		0.0	14210.75	83.07	0.0	14453.53	83.07	100.0%	
		2018			2019			Absolute	
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight %	
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	6287.27	62.91	0.0	6036.58	62.91	77.35%	
	Tenant Controlled	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.65%	
Total waste generation		0.0	6287.27	62.91	0.0	6036.58	62.91	100.0%	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Hazardous and Non-hazardous waste quantities generated per property type, along with their related Data Coverage.

- [Showing "Healthcare: Other"](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)

		Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
		2018	2019
Landfill		83.72	84.07
Incineration			
Diverted (total)		16.28	15.93
Reuse			
Waste to energy			
Recycling			
Other / Unknown			
		Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
		2018	2019
Landfill		83.72	84.07
Incineration			
Diverted (total)		16.28	15.93
Reuse			
Waste to energy			
Recycling		16.28	15.93

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2018	2019
Other / Unknown		
	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2018	2019
Landfill	84.38	84.19
Incineration		
Diverted (total)	15.62	15.81
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling	15.62	15.81
Other / Unknown		
	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2018	2019
Landfill	59.21	57.34
Incineration		
Diverted (total)	40.79	42.66
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling	40.79	42.66
Other / Unknown		

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the proportion of waste by disposal route.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW

Review, verification and assurance of ESG data

MR1

External review of energy data

Has the entity's energy consumption data reported in EN1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
 - Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 42 provides our third-party assurance statement, which states "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, and reporting boundaries." This assurance statement includes direct and indirect energy consumption.

Show investors

- No
- Not applicable

MR2

External review of GHG data

Has the entity's GHG data reported in GH1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
 - Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 42 provides our third-party assurance statement, which states "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, and reporting boundaries." This statement includes verification of direct and indirect GHG emissions.

Show investors

- No
- Not applicable

MR3

External review of water data

Has the entity's water data reported in WT1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
 - Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 42 provides our third-party assurance statement, which states "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, and reporting boundaries." This statement includes total water withdrawal.

Show investors

- No
- Not applicable

MR4

External review of waste data

Has the entity's waste data reported in WS1 been reviewed by an independent third party?

- Yes
 - Externally checked
 - Externally verified
 - Externally assured

Using scheme

Provide applicable evidence

[2019-ESG-Report.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 42 provides our third-party assurance statement, which states "We completed our review of the following environmental parameters in accordance with Tier II of the ERT standard, "Corporate Greenhouse Gas Verification Guideline", a CDP-approved verification standard, including its associated modules for verifying GHG emissions, activity data, and reporting boundaries." This statement includes total waste disposal and recycled.

Show investors

- No
- Not applicable

BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS

Building Certifications

BC1.1

Building certifications at the time of design/construction

Standing investments that obtained a green building certificate at the time of design, construction, and/or renovation

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Office: Medical Office"](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)
 - [Healthcare: Other](#)

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2019	
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver	472377.0	2.35	4		<input type="text"/>
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Gold	91721.0	0.46	1		<input type="text"/>
Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2019	
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified	125196.00000000000000000001		2.12	2	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold	507582.0		8.6	4	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Platinum	53423.0		0.91	2	<input type="text"/>
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Certified	105290.0		1.78	2	<input type="text"/>
Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2019	
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified	61628.0	0.18	1		<input type="text"/>
Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2019	
LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Silver	337907.0	10.64	1		<input type="text"/>

BC1.2

Operational building certifications

Standing investments that hold a valid operational green building certificate

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Office: Medical Office"](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2019	
LEED/Building Operations and Maintenance (O+M) / Certified	142834.0	0.71	2		<input type="text"/>
LEED/Building Operations and Maintenance (O+M) / Silver	383905.0	1.91	2		<input type="text"/>

Energy Ratings

Standing investments that hold a valid energy rating

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

- [Showing "Office: Medical Office"](#)
 - [Office: Medical Office](#)
 - [Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences](#)
 - [Healthcare: Senior Homes](#)

Energy Rating	Area Covered (ft ²)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2019
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	3636804.0	18.1107	46	<input type="text"/>
Energy Rating	Area Covered (ft ²)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2019
Energy Star Certified - 96-100 Points	43375.0	0.7349	1	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 75-79 Points	91937.0	1.5576	2	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	1197997.00000000000000000000000003	20.2966	30	<input type="text"/>
Energy Rating	Area Covered (ft ²)	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2019	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2019
Energy Star Certified - 90-95 Points	61215.0	0.1776	2	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 85-89 Points	56144.0	0.1629	1	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Certified - 75-79 Points	157284.0	0.4564	2	<input type="text"/>
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	13347045.0	38.7316	73	<input type="text"/>

DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

DR1.1

Composition of the entity's development projects portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Property Type	Construction/ Renovation	In progress at the end of reporting period		Completed during reporting period		% GAV
		Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area (ft ²)	Number of Assets	Gross Floor Area (ft ²)	
Office: Medical Office	New Construction Project	7	570000.0	1	87875.0	3
Office: Medical Office	Major Renovation Project	3	247761.0	3	321411.0	2
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	New Construction Project	12	1300000.0	4	548335.0	57
Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences	Major Renovation Project	2	164431.0	6	395796.0	33
Healthcare: Senior Homes	Major Renovation Project	7	1144000.0	0	0.0	5
Total		31	3426192.0	14	1353417.0	100.0

Note: The table above defines the scope of your 2020 GRESB submission on development projects. It should include new construction and major renovations projects that are in progress at the end of reporting year, as well as projects that are completed during the reporting year. The reporting scope reported above should exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

*% GAV represented as the share of the development projects within the entire development portfolio (including both new construction and major renovations)

Provide applicable evidence

[reporting_scope_evidence_DR1.1_Healthpeak-1.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 1. Please note Healthpeak changed its named from "HCP, Inc." in 2019, and all files and reports of HCP are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition (maximum 250 words)

The above GAV % breakdown is based on Healthpeak's New Construction Projects and Major Renovation Projects. Please note Healthpeak changed its named from "HCP, Inc." in 2019, and all files and reports of HCP are those of Healthpeak.

DR1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's development projects portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Country	% GAV
United States of America	100

DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS

ESG Requirements

DRE1

ESG strategy during development

Does the entity have an ESG strategy in place for development projects?

Yes

Elements addressed in the strategy (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Green building certifications
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Life-cycle assessments/embodied carbon
- Location and transportation
- Material sourcing
- Net-zero/carbon neutral design
- Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Site selection and land use
- Sustainable procurement
- Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

Access to sustainable transportation

The strategy is

- Publicly available
- Not publicly available

Provide applicable evidence

[Sustainable New Construction and Major Renovations Guide.pdf](#)
 Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please refer to page 1 of 1. This document was created in 2017 and was still in place during the 2019 reporting period. This document addresses:

- Biodiversity and habitat ("the protection, restoration, and conservation of aquatic ecosystems, farmland, floodplain functions, and habitats for threatened and endangered species")
- Building safety ("adherence to LEED requirements" which includes accessibility and safety)
- Climate change/adaptation (entire document)
- Energy consumption (last paragraph; also see "implementation of efficiency measures and equipment with respect to energy, water, and waste management" and " (i) meeting and endeavoring to exceed applicable energy legislative standards;")
- Green building certifications (" the adherence and alignment to LEED guidelines and requirements, and the consideration of LEED accreditation for each project")
- GHG & Pollution Prevention: ("(ii) the protection of: (a) air quality and reduce pollution by using construction equipment that reduces emissions of localized air pollutants and greenhouse gasses")
- Health & Well-being (proximity to pedestrian routes, minimize air pollutants)
- Location and transportation (" (ii) proximity to pedestrian, bicycle, and mass-transit networks")
- Low Embodied Carbon; renewable energy (preference for "rapidly renewable, low embodied carbon, and recycled content materials")
- Material sourcing and sustainable procurement ("The following sustainable material characteristics are preferred by HCP: (i) materials that disclose environmental impacts and potential health hazards; (ii) locally extracted or recovered materials; (iii) rapidly renewable, low embodied carbon, and recycled content materials; (iv) materials that can easily be recycled; (v) and low-emitting materials.")
- Site selection and land use - 2nd paragraph
- Waste management and water consumption - last paragraph

Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files referencing "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

[Life Safety Oversight Policy and Procedures.docx](#)
 Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

We adopted a life safety policy in 2016, which applies to all existing properties and development and redevelopment projects to ensure the building safety of tenants and establish safety protocols and procedures. Please note Healthpeak changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in 2019, and all files and reports of HCP are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

We work closely with our partners to ensure all new construction and major renovation ("NCR") projects incorporate sustainable business practices. Our NCR objectives are aligned with/include LEED Standards for New Construction, including long-term (5+ years) evaluation and assessment of sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources and indoor environmental quality, as well as building safety/accessibility. We carefully select vendors in our supply chain that engage in green construction practices and consider the environmental attributes of the building materials they utilize for our projects. Such vendors must use FSC certified wood and adhere to our waste diversion goal of at least 75% at each of our development sites. We also support the biodiversity and endeavor to preserve the natural habitat at all of our NCR development sites, and incorporate well-being benefits and healthful attributes, including outdoor green space for our tenants. We consider proximity to public transit networks when determining site locations, and require bicycle racks and electric vehicle charging stations at all of our new development projects. Energy and water consumption and management as well as waste and GHG emissions management are priorities during construction, and we require our vendors to provide us with reports summarizing their efforts in these areas. We work with our insurance and risk management team to review the impact of regional climate events with an eye toward implementing elements to prepare for potential natural disasters (e.g., ensuring backup generators in high wind and flood prone areas; selecting higher elevation sites for backup generators).

No

DRE2

Site selection requirements

Does the entity require sustainable site selection criteria to be considered for development projects?

Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

- Connect to multi-modal transit networks
- Locate projects within existing developed areas
- Protect, restore, and conserve aquatic ecosystems
- Protect, restore, and conserve farmland
- Protect, restore, and conserve floodplain functions
- Protect, restore, and conserve habitats for native, threatened and endangered species
- Protect, restore, and conserve historical and heritage sites
- Redevelop brownfield sites
- Other

No

DRE3

Site design and development requirements

Does the entity have sustainable site design/development requirements for development projects?

Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

- Manage waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- Manage waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks, and soil from disposal
- Minimize light pollution to the surrounding community
- Minimize noise pollution to the surrounding community
- Perform environmental site assessment
- Protect air quality during construction
- Protect and restore habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- Protect surface water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- Other

No

DEV - MATERIALS

Materials

DMA1

Materials selection requirements

Does the entity have a policy requiring that the environmental and health attributes of building materials be considered for development projects?

Yes

Select all issues addressed (multiple answers possible)

Requirement for disclosure about the environmental and/or health attributes of building materials (multiple answers possible)

Environmental Product Declarations

Health Product Declarations

Other types of required health and environmental disclosure:

Material characteristics specification preferences, including (multiple answers possible)

Locally extracted or recovered materials

Low embodied carbon materials

Low-emitting VOC materials

Materials and packaging that can easily be recycled

Materials that disclose environmental impacts

Materials that disclose potential health hazards

Rapidly renewable materials and recycled content materials

"Red list" of prohibited materials or ingredients that should not be used on the basis of their human and/or environmental impacts

Third-party certified wood-based materials and products

Other

Provide applicable evidence

[DMA1.zip](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the provided Table of Contents for more information. Note that all referenced documents were in place during the 2019 reporting period. Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019 and all files referring to "HCP, Inc." are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

No

DMA2.1

Life cycle assessments

Does the entity assess the life cycle emissions of its development projects?

Yes

No

DMA2.2

Embodied carbon disclosure

Has the entity disclosed the embodied carbon emissions of its development projects completed within the last three years?

Yes

No

Not applicable

DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS

Building Certifications

DBC1.1

Green building standard requirements

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that are aligned with green building rating standards?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

The entity requires projects to align with requirements of a third-party green building rating system but does not require certification

≥75, ≤100%

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

LEED

The entity requires projects to achieve certification with a green building rating system but does not require a specific level of certification

≥75, ≤100%

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

LEED

The entity requires projects to achieve a specific (above the minimum) level of certification

No

Green building certifications

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that obtained or are registered to obtain a green building certificate?

Yes

Specify the certification scheme(s) used and the percentage of the portfolio registered and/or certified (multiple answers possible):

Projects registered to obtain a green building certificate at the end of reporting year

Scheme name / sub-scheme name	Area Registered (ft ²)	% portfolio covered by floor area 2019	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional 2019
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C)	460,624	0.6	3	

Add new

Projects that obtained a green building certificate or official pre-certification during the reporting year

Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level	Area Certified (ft ²)	% portfolio certified by floor area 2019	Number of assets	% of GAV certified - optional 2019
LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) Gold	363,300	0.5	3	

Add new

No

Not applicable

DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Energy

DEN1

Energy efficiency requirements

Does the entity have minimum energy efficiency requirements for development projects?

 Yes Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

- Development and implementation of a commissioning plan
- Integrative design process
- To exceed relevant energy codes or standards
- Requirements for minimum energy use intensity post-occupancy
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

[Sustainable New Construction and Major Renovations Guide.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the highlighted paragraph on page 1. This document was created in 2017 and was still in place during the 2019 reporting period. Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files of "HCP, Inc." are those of Healthpeak.

 Show investors Common energy efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

- Air conditioning
- Commissioning
- Energy modeling
- High-efficiency equipment and appliances
- Lighting
- Occupant controls
- Passive design
- Space heating
- Ventilation
- Water heating
- Other

 Operational energy efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible)

- Building energy management systems
- Energy use analytics
- Post-construction energy monitoring

For on average years

- Sub-meter
- Other

 No

DEN2.1

On-site renewable energy

Does the entity incorporate on-site renewable energy in the design of development projects?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

DEN2.2

Net zero carbon design and standards

Does the entity's portfolio include any buildings designed to meet net zero carbon completed within the last three years?

- Yes
- No

DEV - WATER USE

Water Conservation

DWT1

Water conservation strategy

Does the entity promote water conservation in its development projects?

 Yes

The entity promotes water conservation through (multiple answers possible)

 Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)

- Development and implementation of a commissioning plan
- Integrative design for water conservation
- Requirements for indoor water efficiency
- Requirements for outdoor water efficiency
- Requirements for process water efficiency
- Requirements for water supply
- Requirements for minimum water use intensity post-occupancy
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

[Sustainable New Construction and Major Renovations Guide.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the highlighted paragraph on page 1. This document was created in 2017 and was still in place during the 2019 reporting period. Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files of "HCP, Inc." are those of Healthpeak.

 Show investors Common water efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)

- Commissioning of water systems
- Drip/smart irrigation
- Drought tolerant/low-water landscaping
- High-efficiency/dry fixtures
- Leak detection system
- Occupant sensors
- On-site wastewater treatment
- Reuse of stormwater and greywater for non-potable applications
- Other

 Operational water efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible) Post-construction water monitoringFor on average years

- Sub-meter
- Water use analytics
- Other

 No

DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste Management

DWS1

Waste management strategy

Does the entity promote efficient on-site solid waste management during the construction phase of its development projects?

Yes

The entity promotes efficient solid waste management through (multiple answers possible)

Management and construction practices (multiple answers possible)

- Construction waste signage
- Diversion rate requirements
- Education of employees/contractors on waste management
- Incentives for contractors for recovering, reusing and recycling building materials
- Targets for waste stream recovery, reuse and recycling
- Waste management plans
- Waste separation facilities
- Other

On-site waste monitoring (multiple answers possible)

- Hazardous waste monitoring/audit
- Non-hazardous waste monitoring/audit
- Other

No

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Health, Safety & Well-being

DSE1

Health & Well-being

Does the entity take measures to incorporate occupant health & well-being in its development projects?

Yes

The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its product through (multiple answers possible)

Requirements for planning and design, including (multiple answers possible)

- Health Impact Assessment
- Integrated planning process
- Other planning process

Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible)

- Acoustic comfort
- Active design features
- Biophilic design
- Commissioning
- Daylight
- Ergonomic workplace
- Humidity
- Illumination
- Inclusive design
- Indoor air quality
- Natural ventilation
- Occupant controls
- Physical activity
- Thermal comfort
- Water quality
- Other

Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible)

- Occupant education
- Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction)

For on average years

Other

No

DSE2.1

On-site safety

Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects?

Yes

The entity promotes on-site safety through (multiple answers possible)

- Availability of medical personnel
- Communicating safety information
- Continuously improving safety performance
- Demonstrating safety leadership
- Entrenching safety practices
- Managing safety risks
- On-site health and safety professional (coordinator)
- Personal Protective and Life Saving Equipment
- Promoting design for safety
- Training curriculum
- Other

No

DSE2.2

Safety metrics

Does the entity monitor safety indicators at construction sites?

- Yes
- No

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Supply Chain

DSE3.1

Contractor ESG requirements

Does the entity have ESG requirements in place for its contractors?

- Yes
- No

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Business ethics
- Child labor
- Community engagement
- Environmental process standards
- Environmental product standards
- Health and well-being
- Human rights
- Human health-based product standards
- Occupational safety
- Labor standards and working conditions
- Other

Percentage of projects covered

- No

DSE3.2

Contractor monitoring methods

Does the entity monitor its contractors' compliance with its ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

Select all methods used (multiple answers possible)

- Contractor ESG training
- Contractors provide update reports on environmental and social aspects during construction
- External audits by third party
- Internal audits
- Weekly/monthly (on-site) meetings and/or ad hoc site visits

Percentage of projects visited during the reporting year

- Other

- No
- Not applicable

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Community Impact and Engagement

DSE4

Community engagement program

Does the entity have a community engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

 Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

- Community health and well-being
- Effective communication and process to address community concerns
- Employment creation in local communities
- Enhancement programs for public spaces
- ESG education program
- Research and network activities
- Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster
- Supporting charities and community groups
- Other

Describe the community engagement program and the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

Our Social Responsibility Committee drives our engagement related to our support of charities and local community groups and is responsible for oversight of our charitable and volunteer activities. The Committee partners with organizations that share our desire to support research, education, and other activities related to healthcare (in line with our company's core business strategy) and emergency disaster relief (in line with our commitment to addressing climate risk). It also aims to support local community initiatives in the areas where our employees work and live by partnering with and donating to local organizations. In 2019, we donated nearly a half million dollars to entities aligned with the Committee's mission to support the advancement of healthcare, senior communities, and emergency disaster relief. The Committee engages local healthcare organizations that support or mission, and invites representatives to present and speak at meetings before a charitable contribution decision is made. As part of the monitoring process, the representative is asked to come back and provide an updated presentation to the Committee to show how the contributions were used and the progress made.

 No

DSE5.1

Community impact assessment

Does the entity assess the potential socio-economic impact of its development projects on the community as part of planning and pre-construction?

 Yes

Select the areas of impact that are assessed (multiple answers possible)

- Housing affordability
- Impact on crime levels
- Livability score
- Local income generated
- Local job creation
- Local residents' well-being
- Walkability score
- Other

 No

DSE5.2

Community impact monitoring

Does the entity have a systematic process to monitor the impact of development projects on the local community during different stages of the project?

 Yes

The entity's process includes (multiple answers possible)

- Analysis and interpretation of monitoring data
- Development and implementation of a communication plan
- Development and implementation of a community monitoring plan
- Development and implementation of a risk mitigation plan

- Identification of nuisance and/or disruption risks
- Identification of stakeholders and impacted groups
- Management practices to ensure accountability for performance goals and issues identified during community monitoring
- Other

Describe the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

We regularly analyze and monitor data throughout the development process to determine impacts on stakeholders. We take the following steps through a comprehensive plan: (i) identify stakeholders and impacted groups, such as the community; (ii) establish clear, measurable objectives at the outset of a project to determine risk and mitigation strategies, including nuisance and disruption risks, such as traffic flow or noise pollution; (iii) communicate the objectives to contractors and suppliers, and if necessary, other stakeholders such as the community; (iv) receive and analyze real-time data in each phase of the project; and (v) work with contractors and stakeholders to assess results against risks and objectives, determine whether a mitigation plan is needed, and determine whether future adjustments are required. For example, as part of community impact due to new construction, Healthpeak performs a Traffic Demand Management (TDM) plan which includes traffic impact analysis (see example from 2013, which is reflective of similar plans used during the reporting year). This enables Healthpeak and the community to see the impact of traffic due to a new development facility. Goals are established at the outset and clearly communicated to stakeholders, including the community. Management, monitoring and mitigation plans are outlined, along with annual surveys and triennial audit to assess and communicate performance results. Healthpeak changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in 2019, and all files of "HCP" are those of Healthpeak.

Provide applicable evidence

[Traffic Demand Management Plan Example.pdf](#)

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the Table of Contents on pages 3-4. This documentation example is from 2013, but similar Traffic Demand Management Plans were put in place for Healthpeak during the 2019 reporting period. Please note: Healthpeak Properties, Inc. changed its name from "HCP, Inc." in October 2019, and all files of "HCP, Inc." are those of Healthpeak.

Show investors

No

NAREIT LEADER IN THE LIGHT
Nareit Leader in the Light

Q1

Describe your organization's ESG goals for 2019 and how it performed against these goals.

Healthpeak made excellent progress in 2019 against its environmental, social and governance goals. Our 2019 is described in detail on pages 11 and 15 of our 2019 ESG Report.

- 2019 Environmental Goals - Reduce GHG emissions, energy consumption, water consumption, landfill waste disposal and increase recycled waste by 1-2%. Achieved 4 out of 5 annual goals:
- GHG Emissions: Reduced 1.9% [Achieved]
- Energy consumption: Reduced 1.5% [Achieved]
- Water consumption: Reduced 2.6% [Achieved]
- Landfill Waste Disposal: Increased 1.6%
- Recycled Waste: Increased 1.4% [Achieved]
- 2019 Social Goals: Increase workforce diversity, enhance training and development, enhance employee benefits and wellness, improve employee engagement and satisfaction, improve tenant engagement and increase community engagement. As described on page 15 of our 2019 ESG Report, each goal was achieved through direct efforts to implement initiatives and enhance performance.
- 2019 Governance Goals: 100% of employees completed training on Code of Conduct and Insider Trading (achieved, as disclosed on p. 23 of the 2019 ESG Report)

Q2

Describe the innovative ESG strategies and technologies implemented in the last 12 months by your organization. Include relevant metrics when describing results.

Healthpeak approaches ESG comprehensively as part of its business strategy; 2019 was no exception:

1. Healthpeak became the first healthcare REIT in North America to adopt ambitious long-term (15-year) science-based GHG emissions targets validated by Science-Based Target Initiative and aligned with well-below 2 degree Celsius scenario planning.
2. Healthpeak began reporting on Scope 3 environmental performance in 2019.
3. We were among the first U.S. REITs to issue a credit facility agreement with sustainability-linked metrics, underscoring our commitment to reflect ESG in our business strategy and financial planning.
4. As described in Q1, Healthpeak achieved most of its 2019 environmental goals and all of its social goals through implementation of various initiatives. As detailed in our 2019 ESG Report (p. 13), we significantly increased our environmental projects, including 109 lighting retrofits at 83 properties (30 more than 2018); 398 HVAC projects at 120 buildings (275 more than 2018); and 573 emissions reduction projects (328 more projects than 2018).
5. Healthpeak adopted best disclosure practices by aligning with TCFD and SASB disclosures in its 2019 ESG Report, as well as its long-standing GRI disclosures.
6. Healthpeak underscored its commitment to diversity and inclusion by appointing a fourth female Board member, representing a Board comprised of 50% female directors and 25% racially and ethnically diverse directors. In addition, we increased workforce diversity in 2019 with a workforce that is 49% female and 42% racially or ethnically diverse. We were recognized by Nareit with a 2019 Diversity and Inclusion Recognition Award (Silver) and named to Bloomberg's Gender Equality Index.
7. We enhanced our employee training and development programs to focus on training for all employees on career and professional development, and targeted training for diverse employee groups.
8. Recognizing the importance of having a responsible supply chain, Healthpeak enhanced its supply chain monitoring and screening of our top 25 vendors across ESG factors including human rights, diversity, environmental policies and liabilities, and corporate governance and compliance policies.
9. We continued our leading corporate governance practices by refreshing half our Board in 2 years and reducing director tenure from 9 to 6 years through a director retirement program; increasing Board diversity with 50% female directors and 25% racially/ethnically diverse directors; adopting a 1-year post-vesting holding period for executive equity awards to promote retention and alignment with long-term investor interests; eliminating tax gross-up payments on executive perquisites; and memorializing crisis response protocols with company-wide training.

Q3

Explain why your company is deserving of recognition as a 2020 Leader in the Light Award winner.

Healthpeak is deserving of recognition as the 2020 Healthcare Leader in the Light Award winner for many reasons, but primarily because we continuously demonstrate superior and continuous sustainability practices on environmental, social and governance (ESG) matters.

In 2019, we published our ninth annual ESG Report. We proactively adopted TCFD and SASB reporting standards, in alignment with best ESG disclosure practices. We engaged with over 40% of our investors on ESG matters in 2019, taking their feedback into consideration when designing and implementing ESG initiatives. We have achieved many accolades and awards, including being named to the FTSE4Good, DJSI N. America and Bloomberg Gender-Equality indices, CDP Leadership band, and included in the 100 Best Corporate Citizens list by Corporate Responsibility Magazine for two consecutive years, among several other honors.

Environmentally, we have transformed our portfolio to ensure our buildings are resourced efficiently, with currently 226 ENERGY STAR certifications and 3.3 million square feet of LEED-certified assets (cumulative). By implementing water, energy, waste and GHG emissions reduction activities and technologies at our properties, we continue to reduce our carbon footprint. "Smart building" technology, solar panels, renewable energy from wind projects, drought resistant landscaping, LED lighting, high-efficiency HVACs and other efficient equipment are just a few of the projects we implement each year across our portfolio that help to make our buildings resource efficient. As of 2019, we exceeded our long-term goals for GHG emissions and waste disposal and are progressing toward our goals for energy and water consumption.

We are proud of our progress on social and governance initiatives, spotlighting our commitment to diversity, inclusion and equal opportunity in our Board leadership and throughout our workforce. We received improved employee satisfaction rates and enhanced our charitable efforts. We implemented several best practices for corporate governance and are consistently recognized as a governance leader.

While our environmental projects and measures produce meaningful cost savings, primarily they benefit our tenants by providing an eco-friendly work environment, while also reducing our impact on the environment as a whole, which benefits everyone.

We constantly strive to consistently enhance and improve our ESG practices, activities and performance, so that all of our stakeholders may reap the benefits of our economic and environmental savings. To us, this concept represents the definition of a Leader in the Light. Healthpeak would be truly honored to receive recognition as the 2020 Healthcare Leader in the Light Award winner.

Q4

For the "Leadership Personified" award, nominate individual leaders in Sustainability/ESG within the REIT industry. The nominated individual must be employed by a Nareit corporate member organization.

1st nomination

Carol Samaan, Vice President - Corporate Counsel and ESG

2nd nomination

Jack Garrett, Vice President - Capital Asset Management, MOB

3rd nomination

Troy McHenry, EVP, Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary



Healthpeak Properties, Inc.

GRESB Public Disclosure Assessment 2020

DATE: 2 Aug 2020 12:58:01am Sun UTC

SUBMITTED: 2 Aug 2020 12:57:57am Sun UTC

Table of Contents

ASPECT CHECKLIST

Aspect Checklist	3
------------------------	---

PDP

Disclosure Methods	4
Governance of Sustainability	6
Implementation	9
Operational Performance	10
Stakeholder Engagement	12

ASPECT CHECKLIST

There are no errors or warnings for this assessment! Click "Contents" to resume the assessment.

Disclosure Methods

D1

Sustainability performance via a stand-alone Sustainability Report

Yes

Reporting year

Select the applicable reporting level

Entity

Group

The sustainability report is

Aligned with a sustainability reporting framework

- ANREV Sustainability Reporting Guidelines, 2016
- EPRA sBPR, 2017
- GRI Standards, 2016
- IIRC Framework, 2013
- INREV Sustainability Guidelines, 2016
- PRI Reporting Framework, 2018
- SASB Standards, 2018
- TCFD Recommendations, 2017
- Other

Third-party verified or assured

Verified

Assured

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

No

D2.1

Sustainability performance via a section in the Annual Report

Yes

Reporting year

Select the applicable reporting level

Entity

Group

The sustainability section is

- Aligned with a sustainability reporting framework
- Third-party verified or assured

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://filecache.investorroom.com/mr5ir_healthpeakproperties/423/Healthpeak%20Properties_Annual%20Report_2019.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

No

D2.2

Sustainability performance via an Integrated Report

- Yes
- No

D3

Sustainability performance via a dedicated section on the corporate website

- Yes

Select the applicable reporting level

- Entity
- Group

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Full section including Overview, Environmental, Social, Governance, and Historical Reports pages

- No

D4

Public commitment to ESG leadership standards and/or principles

- Yes

Select all commitments included (multiple answers possible)

- Climate Action 100+
- Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change (including AIGCC, Ceres, IGCC, IIGCC)
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Standards
- Montreal Pledge
- OECD - Guidelines for multinational enterprises
- PRI signatory
- RE 100
- Science Based Targets initiative
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- UN Global Compact
- UN Sustainable Development Goals
- WorldGBC's Net Zero Carbon Buildings Commitment
- Other

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to page 6 of the ESG Report for TCFD, and U.N. Sustainable Development Goals . Please refer to page 11 of the ESG report for the Science-Based Targets.

- No

Governance of Sustainability

D5

Specific environmental objectives

Yes

Disclosed objectives are:

- Measurable
- Time-bound

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13 of the 2019 ESG Report, with specific 2019 environmental goals and long-term goals and progress detailed on pages 11-12 of the ESG Report

No

D6

Specific social objectives

Yes

Disclosed objectives are:

- Measurable
- Time-bound

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 14-21 of the 2019 ESG Report, with specific 2019 social goals and progress detailed on page 15 of the ESG Report

No

D7

Specific governance objectives

Yes

Disclosed objectives are:

- Measurable
- Time-bound

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 22-28 of the 2019 ESG Report, with specific 2019 governance goals and progress detailed on page 23 of the ESG Report. Specifically, we strive for zero fines or sanctions under our Codes of Conduct, and 100% completion for training on our Code of Conduct.

No

D8

Existence of dedicated sustainability professional(s) or sustainability task force/committee responsible for the entity

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 24-26 of the 2019 ESG Report, which outlines Healthpeak's ESG Governance Structure, including the role of the Board of Directors, Management, and Sustainability Committee members (comprised of management and employees)

No

D9

Policy/policies that address(es) environmental issues

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the Code and Conduct (page 14) and the Vendor Code of Conduct (page 5)

No

D10

Policy/policies that address(es) social issues

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Social policies can be found in our Corporate Code of Conduct (pages 12-14), Vendor Code of Conduct (pages 3-5), and Social Responsibility Website page linked above, including our Diversity and Human Rights Policy

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/governance/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Social policies can be found in our Corporate Code of Conduct (pages 12-14), Vendor Code of Conduct (pages 3-5), and Social Responsibility Website page linked above, including our Diversity and Human Rights Policy

No

D11

Policy/policies that address(es) corporate governance issues

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Our Governance webpage linked above provides links to our various corporate governance policies, including the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Vendor Code

of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board Committee Charters, a publicly available link to our anonymous Whistleblower Hotline, and our executive compensation pay-for-performance philosophy.

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677420000799/peak3662921-def14a.htm>

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/765880/000120677420000799/peak3662921-def14a.htm>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Our 2020 Proxy Statement (reporting 2019 governance and executive compensation) outlines our corporate governance policies (see pages 22-30 of the 2020 Proxy Statement) and executive compensation practices (see pages 37-54).

No

D12

Long-term performance improvement targets

Yes

Targets relate to:

- Energy consumption
- Renewable energy
- GHG emissions
- Water consumption
- Waste diverted from landfill
- Other

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13 of the 2019 ESG Report, with specific 2019 environmental targets and long-term targets, as well as performance and progress, detailed on pages 11-12 of the ESG Report

No

PDP
Implementation

D13

ESG initiatives and/or case studies that relate to the entity

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

See refer to 9-13 of the 2019 ESG Report, with specific 2019 environmental initiatives and projects detailed on pages 10 and 13 of the ESG Report

No

D14

Information on investments that obtained a green building certification or energy rating

Yes

Disclosure includes information on:

- Green building certifications
- Energy ratings

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

"Achievements" under our Environmental webpage linked above.

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 6 and 10 of the 2019 ESG Report, reflecting 226 Energy Star Certifications (Cumulative) and 3.3 million square feet of LEED certified properties (cumulative).

No

Operational Performance

D15

Energy consumption data of portfolio

 Yes

Including information on:

- Absolute consumption data
- Like-for-like consumption data
- Intensity data
- Portfolio data coverage

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdfhttps://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13, pages 30-32 and page 34 of the 2019 ESG Report for relevant environmental data, with specific energy data on pages 11, 12, 31 and 34 of the 2019 ESG Report

 No

D16

Renewable energy data of portfolio

 Yes No

D17

GHG emissions data of portfolio

 Yes

Including information on:

- Absolute GHG emissions data
- Like-for-like GHG emissions data
- Intensity data
- Portfolio data coverage

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdfhttps://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13, pages 30-32 and page 34 of the 2019 ESG Report for relevant environmental data, with specific emissions data on pages 11, 12, and 32 of the 2019 ESG Report

No

D18

Water consumption data of portfolio

Yes

Including information on:

- Absolute consumption data
- Like-for-like consumption data
- Intensity data
- Portfolio data coverage

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13, pages 30-32 and page 34 of the 2019 ESG Report for relevant environmental data, with specific water data on pages 11, 12, 32 and 34 of the 2019 ESG Report

No

D19

Waste data of portfolio

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 9-13, pages 30-32 and page 34 of the 2019 ESG Report for relevant environmental data, with specific waste data on pages 11, 12 and 32 of the 2019 ESG Report

No

PDP
Stakeholder Engagement

D20

Employee satisfaction survey during the last three years, if undertaken

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the website for employee satisfaction.

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to page 17 for employee satisfaction

No

D21

Involvement in sustainability related tenant engagement programs/initiatives

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 7 and 20 of the 2019 ESG Report, describing our tenant and partner engagement programs, initiatives and process.

No

D22

Tenant satisfaction survey during the last three years, if undertaken

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to pages 20 and 33 for our tenant satisfaction engagement process and results, reflecting 87% satisfaction rate for 2019, and 89% engagement rate

No

D23

Actions of community engagement program, if undertaken

Yes

Please disclose where the information can be found

Provide hyperlink

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

<https://www.healthpeak.com/esg/social/>

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to the Partner and Community Engagement section

Provide hyperlink

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

https://www.healthpeak.com/app/uploads/Sustainability_Reports/2019/2019-ESG-Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please refer to page 21, describing our community engagement program

No